Numerical Approach on Hydrogen Detonation: Fundamentals and Applications -Part 2-

> 2007.08.02 Nobuyuki TSUBOI ISAS/JAXA, Japan





1.Motivations 2. Introduction of Detonation **3. History and Previous Research** 4.Initial and Boundary Condition **5.Effects of Grid Resolutions** 6.Detonation Structure by Numerical Simulations(2D,3D) 7.Remaining Tasks and Summary

Motivations

Hydrogen/air mixture: detonable gas

Detonation: shock induced combustion
 -Pressure behind detonation increases
 about 10 times ambient pressure

Closed environment such as a tunnel causes serious accident.

What is Detonation?



What is Detonation?

Detonation wave is combustion wave induced by shock wave



Detonation velocity:

80%H2 20% O2 : 3,400m/s 66%H2 33.3%O2 : 2,850m/s 25%H2 75%O2 : 1,750m/s CH4 + O2 : 2,600m/s

What is Detonation? ZND(Zeldovich-Neumann-Doering) model







Initial and Boundary Conditions

Initial Conditions

ID : one wall is the boundary at a stationary coordinate system and a high pressure and temperature for ignition is initially imposed near the wall.

2D:

-ZND or 1D results are used

- -Unburned premixed gas behind the detonation front
 3D :
 - -ZND or 1D results are used

-Unburned premixed gas behind the detonation front -Optional initial condition is given to get a desired detonation pattern (square tube)

Boundary Conditions (2D,3D)

Shock wave coordinate system for the constant tube cross section Upstream boundary : A premixed gas flows with CJ velocity Downstream boundary: -A CJ pressure-fixed BC (transverse wave are reflects, slight overdriven detonation) -An expansion BC proposed by Gamezo (expansion boundary: reflection of transverse wave can be weaken)

Effects of Grid Resolutions

Effects of Grid Resolutions on 1D Detonation

- The important index for grid resolutions is the grid number in the half reaction length of fuel.
- The half reaction length is calculated by ZND profile.
- Its value for stoichiometric H2/Air is about 160 micron and it is dependent on the (detailed) reaction model.
- At least 30 points are better.

Effects of Grid Resolutions on 1D Detonation Velocity •Detonation velocity oscillates near CJ velocity for fine grid. •Weakly "stable" overdriven detonation for coarse grid due to numerical dissipation.



Stoichiometric H2/Air, 1atm, 300K

Effects of Grid Resolutions on 1D Instantaneous Pressure

Detonation oscillates near CJ velocity for fine grid because combustion front separate or catch up with the shock periodically.
Weakly "stable" overdriven detonation for coarse grid due to numerical dissipation



Effects of Grid Resolutions on 2D Detonation

•Cell structure becomes clearly unstable and large for finer grid

(a)2.5 micron

2mm





Detonation Structure by Numerical Simulations: 2D Detonation Structure



 2D Detonation Structure
 Keystone structure was observed experimentally by Pintgen et al.





(a)Single Mach reflection

(b)Double Mach reflection (c)Complex Mach reflection 20

Detonation Structure by Numerical Simulations: 3D Detonation Structure (Square Tube)

Simulation Conditions (Half Cell)

Computational grids $\Delta x=5; \Delta y, \Delta z=10 [\mu m]$ Grid points :601x101x101(uniform grid) Total : 6 millions

Numerical conditions

- Gas composition: Stoichiometric H2/Air
- Temperature : 298.15 [K]
- Iteration

- Pressure : 0.1 [MPa]
- Initial condition : 1-D simulation results
 - : 57,000
- CPU time: about 140 hours (on SX-6 (1node, 8 CPU))



Maximum Pressure History (Half Cell) 2D Rectangular mode in phase Slapping Wave **Diagonal mode** Rectangular mode partially out of phase (Spin mode)

20

80 atm

Instantaneous Pressure Contours (Half Cell)



(c)Rectangular mode partially out of phase(spin mode)

Instantaneous H2 Massfraction Contours (Half Cell)



(c)Rectangular mode partially out of phase(spin mode) 0.0

0.029

Maximum Pressure History (One Cell)

2D

Rectangular mode in phase (mode Ra)

Rectangular mode

(mode Rab)

Diagonal mode

(mode D)



Maximum pressure history 30 atm

Vertical wall

70 atm

Instantaneous Pressure Contours (One cell)





Detonation Structure by Numerical Simulations: 3D Detonation Structure (Circular Tube)

Diagram of Motion of Fronts in Plane of Cross Section



Simulation Conditions



Computational grids $\Delta x=5$; $\Delta r=10-20$, $r\Delta \theta=15$ [μ m] $(5 \mu \text{ m}=1/33 \text{ of half reaction length of H2})$ (167.3µm)) : 601x41x213(max) Grid points : 5.2 millions(max) Total r_1/R :0,0.2

Numerical conditions

- Gas composition: Stoichiometric H2/air
- Temperature : 298.15 [K]

- Pressure : 0.1 [MPa]
- Initial condition : 1-D simulation results
- CPU time (max) : 200 hours (on SX-6 (1node, 8 CPU))

Initial Conditions



3.0 or 4.0 mm

Initial conditions: the result of 1-D simulation. Initial disturbance: unburned gas pocket asymmetrically added on the radial direction.

Instantaneous Pressure Contours (Spinning Mode)



Instantaneous Pressure Contours on Wall (Spinning Mode)



Instantaneous Pressure Contours on Wall (Spinning Mode: Circle vs. Square)





Shock Angle on Wall
(Spinning Mode: Circle vs. Square vs. Exp.)
Table 1. The flow incident angle of the Mach stem Φ3 using the experimental value of the flow angle of the incident shock Φ1; ^a Nikolaev et al, ^b Voytsekhovsky et al; ^c Huang et al., ^d Ul'yanitskii, ^e This work(Cylinder), ^f Bone et al., ^g Lee et al, h^ This work(Square).

Minteres	Tube	Initial	Detonation	Track	Φ 1, deg.	Φ 3, deg.
WIXture	diameter(mm)	pressure(Torr	velocity(m/s	angle α		
C2H2 + 1.5O2 + 12.5Ar^a	21	45	1637	49	29.2	89.6
2H2 + O2^a	21	48	2688	47	27.8	89.8
2H2 + O2 + 3Ar^a	21	40	1816	46	34.2	87.6
2CO + O2 + 5%H2^a	21	80	1760	45 ᇬ	33.6	87.8
2CO + O2 + 3%H2 ^b	27	76	1700	44.2 👎	35.6	87.1
1.5H2 + 1.5O2 +7Ar^c	90	22	1325	46.8 🤮	30	89.9
C2H2 + 7.58O2 + 34.3 Ar [^] c	90	30	1227	48.7	32	88.9
H2+Air(Stoich.) [^] d	4 0	53	1690	46.6		
2H2 + O2 + 3.76N2 [^] e	1	760	1980	45	35	83
2CO+O2^f	12		1760	49.5		
C2H2 + 1.43O2 + 5.9Ar [^] g	J 1 9	21.4		49		/1
2H2 + O2 + 3.76N2 [^] h	1	760	1980	51	43	71

Max. Pressure History (Spinning Mode: Circle vs. Square vs. Exp.)

		Mixture	<u>P/D'</u>	
		C2H2 + 1.5O2 + 12.5Ar^a	2.73	
and the second second and the second	Per Enter Office	2H2 + O2^a	2.93	~
Circular tubar D/D' 200		$2H2 + O2 + 3Ar^{a}$	3.03	n
\sim Circular tube. P/D = 3.09	and the second	$2CO + O2 + 5\%H2^{2}a$	3.14	~
A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE		$2CO + O2 + 3\%H2^{b}$	3.23	N
and the second second second		1.5H2 + 1.5O2 + /Ar c	2.95	
allow a second and a second the		U2HZ + 7.58U2 + 34.3 Ar c	2.76	
		$\Pi 2 + Air(Stoich.) d$	2 00	
search cathery searched and		$2\Pi 2 + U2 + 3.70N2 e$ $2\Omega 0 + \Omega 2^{f}$	3.U9 2.60	_
		$4C2H2 + 1A3C2 + 50Ar^{2}a$	2.09 2.61	0 0
30 atm	70 atm	$2H2 + O2 + 3.76N2^{h}$	2.01	2.6
Square tube: P/D'=2.65				
		45de	• De	







Instantaneous Pressure Contours on Wall (Two-headed Mode)



Shock wave structure: Reflected shock Single, Double Mach reflections -> Complex Mach reflection Transverse detonation

10MPa

0.1

(b)Double Mach reflection (c)Complex Mach reflection

Unburned Gas Pocket (Spinning vs. Two-headed Mode)

No unburned gas pocket



Unreacted gas pocket

(a)r₁/R=0 Spinning mode

(b)r₁/R=0.2 Two-headed mode

H2 Mass Fraction Contours⁰

0.029

Max. Pressure History (Spinning vs. Two-headed)

Pitch/Diameter=3.14

(a)r₁/R=0 : Single Spinning mode (Periodically Irregular)



(b)r₁/R=0.2 : Two-headed mode

30 atm

3.14mm

70 atm

Summary of 3D Simulations

Numerical results about spinning detonation can be comparable with experimental data.

Spinning detonation has
No unburned gas pockets
Complex Mach reflection
Two headed detonation has
Unburned gas pockets
Single, double, and complex Mach reflections

Remaining Task and Summary

3D phenomena except for special cases
High grid resolution and stiff problems for detailed reaction models
Chemical reaction model including high pressure dependence
Turbulent effects and DDT

Thanks

 To Prof. Vladimir Molkov for the invitation •To Morley Robert for assist of visiting Belfast •To Prof A.Koichi Hayashi for his special advices •To Seiji Kato and Keitaro Eto in Aoyama Gakuin University for their remarkable helps